

PLACE: Board Conference Room, Eleventh Floor, 1099 Fourteenth St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20570.

STATUS: Closed to public observation pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552b(c)(2) (internal personnel rules and practices); and 9(B) (disclosure would significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed Agency * * *).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Budget.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: John J. Toner, Executive Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20570, Telephone: (202) 273-1940.

Dated: Washington, D.C., May 2, 1996.

By direction of the Board:

John J. Toner,

Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board.

[FR Doc. 96-11617 Filed 5-6-96; 2:01 pm]

BILLING CODE 7545-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

Cleveland Electric Illuminating and Ohio Edison Company, et al.; Notice of Transfer of Ownership of Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Notice is hereby given that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) is considering approval under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 50.80, of the transfer of 12.58-percent ownership of the facilities for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1 (PNPP Unit 1) from the Ohio Edison Company (Ohio Edison) to a wholly owned subsidiary of Ohio Edison, OES Nuclear, Inc. (OES). By "Supplemental Application For License Transfer," submitted under cover of letter dated December 29, 1995, from Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, Ohio Edison informed the Commission that it intends to transfer to OES a 12.58-percent ownership interest in the PNPP Unit 1 "common facilities." This request supplements an earlier request to transfer a 17.42-percent ownership interest in PNPP Unit 1 from Ohio Edison to OES, which the NRC approved by Order dated December 20, 1995. Further, OES has been added to Operating License No. NPF-58 as an owner of PNPP Unit 1, by Amendment No. 81, dated February 27, 1996.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, the Commission may approve the transfer of a license, after notice to interested persons, upon the Commission's determination that the holder of the license following the transfer of control is qualified to be a holder of the license

and the transfer of the control is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations and orders of the Commission. Ohio Edison has requested consent under 10 CFR 50.80 to transfer of the license effectuated by the change in control of such ownership interest in PNPP Unit 1.

For further details with respect to this action, see the December 29, 1995, letter and accompanying submittal, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 2nd day of May 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Gail H. Marcus,
Director, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-11430 Filed 5-07-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353]

Philadelphia Electric Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85 issued to Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee) for operation of the Limerick Generating Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, located in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would relocate the Technical Specifications (TSs) Traversing In-Core Probe (TIP) System Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3/4.3.7.7, and its Bases 3/4.3.7.7, to LGS Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) and modify Note (f) of TS Table 4.3.1.1-1, "Reactor Protection System Instrumentation Surveillance Requirements," to remove its reference to the TIP System in accordance with NRC NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4."

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed TS changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will relocate requirements from TS to a licensee controlled document (i.e., TRM) and delete surveillance details pertaining to the TIP system which are already contained in licensee controlled documents. The relocated requirements will be retained in licensee controlled documents which will be maintained under the requirements of TS Administrative Controls Section 6.0 and the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59. Since any changes to licensee controlled documents are required to be evaluated per 10 CFR 50.59, no increase (significant or insignificant) in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated will be allowed.

In addition, these proposed changes will not affect any equipment important to safety, in structure or operation. These changes will not alter operation of process variables, structures, systems, or components as described in the safety analysis report and licensing basis. The changes will not increase the probability or consequences of occurrence of a malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the SAR [Safety Analysis Report].

2. The proposed TS changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will not alter the plant configuration or change the methods governing normal plant operation. The changes will not impose different operating requirements and adequate control of information will be retained. The changes will not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis report and licensing basis. Since the proposed changes cannot cause an accident, and the plant response to the design basis events is unchanged, the changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed TS changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes to relocate requirements from TS to a licensee controlled document and modify surveillance details pertaining to the TIP system which are